

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/880,139	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VINATI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas Duong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2145	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas Duong.

(2) Robert C. Faber, Reg. No. 24,322.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 March 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

None

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**JASON CARDONE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Faber, the attorney of record at Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen, LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Faber, returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.